



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,532	02/19/2004	Stephen F. Brown	CWSI.2CP1CP1	3246

20995 7590 08/07/2008  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER
----------

MATHEWS, ALAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2851

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

08/07/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,532	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BROWN, STEPHEN F.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALAN A. MATHEWS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2851	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALAN A. MATHEWS. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Curtis R. Huffmire. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amendment to claim 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Boehle (5,212,655) and Fernandez et al. (6,697,103).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed new limitations to proposed claim 29. The Examiner needs further search and consideration before making a determination of patentability. Applicant will file an RCE with the newly proposed claim(s).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alan A. Mathews/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2851

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required